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Abstract. Protein-protein interactions in cells are often studied using fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) phenomenon by fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM). Here, we demonstrate approaches to the quantitative analysis of FRET in cell population in a case complicated by a highly heterogeneous donor expression, multieponential donor lifetime, large contribution of cell autofluorescence, and significant presence of unquenched donor molecules that do not interact with the acceptor due to low affinity of donor-acceptor binding. We applied a multifrequency phasor plot to visualize FRET FLIM data, developed a method for lifetime background correction, and performed a detailed time-resolved analysis using a biexponential model. These approaches were applied to study the interaction between the Toll Interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain of Toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4) and the decoy peptide 4BB. TLR4 was fused to Cerulean fluorescent protein (Cer) and 4BB peptide was labeled with Bodipy TMRX (BTX). Phasor displays for multifrequency FLIM data are presented. The analytical procedure for lifetime background correction is described and the effect of correction on FLIM data is demonstrated. The absolute FRET efficiency was determined based on the phasor plot display and multifrequency FLIM data analysis. The binding affinity between TLR4-Cer (donor) and decoy peptide 4BB-BTX (acceptor) was estimated in a heterogeneous HeLa cell population. © 2014 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)
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1 Introduction

Protein-protein or protein-peptide interactions in cells can be directly monitored using a fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) approach, provided the interacting biomolecules are labeled with a fluorescent donor and acceptor molecule. The interaction manifests in quenching of donor fluorescence and a decrease in the donor fluorescence lifetime. A quantitative parameter of FRET is the energy transfer efficiency that strongly depends on the distance between the donor and acceptor molecules and their mutual orientation. Therefore, measurement of the FRET efficiency provides valuable information on specific parameters of protein-protein interactions in living cells, such as affinity of binding or conformation of protein complexes. The most reliable and informative method to quantify FRET in a heterogeneous system, such as cells, is fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy (FLIM).1–9 The theory of time-domain and frequency-domain (FD) time-resolved spectroscopy and a recent review on FLIM are described elsewhere.10,11 In addition to a careful selection of the donor and acceptor molecules based on their spectral and physico-chemical properties, the geometry of the resulting donor/acceptor complex is very important for designing an efficient FRET system.12 Genetic labeling of proteins with fluorescent protein labels and expression of the fusion proteins in cells is most frequently used to study protein interactions in physiological conditions.13–17 Some inherent limitations, however, restrict the use of this approach. Particularly, the large size of the fluorescent protein labels makes them suboptimal for labeling.

The low interaction affinity is typical for biological molecules that interact reversibly and implies that a cellular FRET system will include donor-acceptor pairs and unquenched donor molecules simultaneously. Therefore, the multieponential intensity decay should be expected in such a system. If fitting of FLIM data requires two- or three-exponential model, the quantitative information on protein-protein interactions is difficult to obtain. This is because FLIM data display high intensity heterogeneity and the signal from individual pixels is frequently insufficient for accurate analysis of intensity decays. Thus, the FLIM FRET analysis is frequently limited to determination of the apparent FRET efficiency, qualitatively indicating an interaction, but does not provide information on the binding affinity. If a donor displays a single-exponential lifetime, this significantly facilitates resolution of the fractions of interacting and noninteracting donor-labeled proteins; therefore, several fluorescent proteins with single-exponential fluorescence decay have been developed in the last decade.13,16 Yet, despite this progress, several factors limit quantitative studies of protein-protein interactions. These factors include the highly heterogeneous environment of cellular systems, variable expression of donor and acceptor in cells, dimerization, inefficient quenching of donor by acceptor-labeled proteins, and contribution of background signal from cell autofluorescence and sample matrix. The use of fluorescent proteins as donor/acceptor pair is convenient from biological perspective but less so from
the spectroscopic point of view, because large sizes of fluorescent proteins limits FRET efficiency to <40%. The most frequently used donor-acceptor pair is cyan (CFP) and yellow fluorescent proteins (YFP). Studies using CFP or CFP variant called Cerulean (Cer), require blue excitation which can induce considerable levels of autofluorescence.

This report describes the use of multifrequency FLIM to address the above limitations and obtain quantitative information on interaction of a TLR4 peptide inhibitor 4BB (Ref. 18) with TLR4 Toll Interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) homology domain in HeLa cells. TIR domains are protein interaction domains that mediate transient interactions of signaling proteins. In mammals, TIR domains are present in Toll-like receptors (TLRs), the Interleukin-1 (IL1) receptor family, and in the adapter proteins that mediate signaling from TIR-containing receptors. The investigated FRET system is characterized by a highly heterogeneous donor expression in individual cells, a large contribution of noninteracting donor, nonsingle exponential decay of donor, and relatively high cell autofluorescence. To quantify the FRET efficiency and estimate the dissociation constant, we applied new analytical approaches such as phasor plot display, correction of FLIM data for cell autofluorescence, and multifrequency FLIM for lifetime analysis. Analysis was performed on a large number of cells within an image displaying large variation in intensity and lifetime.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 TIR-Cerulean Fusion Protein and Acceptor-Labeled Decoy Peptides

Expression vectors that encode TLR4 and TLR2 fused with Cerulean fluorescent protein were described previously. Cell-permeating decoy peptide 4BB used in this study was previously identified as potent TLR4 inhibitor that binds the TIR domain of TLR4. 4BB decoy TIR-derived sequence was amended by the cell-permeating Antennapedia homeodomain sequence placed at the N-terminus. 4BB peptide was labeled with Bodipy TMRX (BTX) N-terminally. Peptide synthesis, labeling, and verification were performed at the University of Maryland Biopolymer-Genomics Core Facility as previously described.

2.2 Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging Microscopy

Fluorescence lifetime images were acquired using FLIM system (Alba 5 from ISS). The excitation was from laser diode 443 nm coupled with scanning module (ISS) through multiband dichroic filter 443/532/635 nm (Semrock) to microscope (Olympus IX71S) with objective 20×0.45 NA (UPlan Olympus, Center Valley, Pennsylvania). Emission was observed through bandpass filter 480/30 nm (Chroma Technology, Bellows Falls, Vermont) and detected by a photomultiplier H7422-40 (Hamamatsu). FLIM data were acquired using FD modality (ISS A320 FastFLIM electronics) with n harmonics of 20 MHz laser repetition frequency (n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). FastFLIM was calibrated using fluorescein in buffer pH 8.0 as a standard with single lifetime of 4.0 ns. Images were acquired using the following setting: image size of 256×256 pixels, scan speed 1 ms/pixel (or about 1 µm/pixel) with two to five overlapping scans. FLIM data were analyzed with VistaVision Suite software (Vista v.204 from ISS).

2.3 Visualization of FD FLIM Data with Phasor Plot

Single- or multifrequency FLIM images were mapped into phasor plots using VistaVision Suite software (Vista v.204 from ISS). Briefly, each pixel in the FLIM image is represented as a point in the two-dimensional (2-D) polar plot with coordinate S and G based on the measured phase shift (φ) and demodulation factor (m). For single exponential lifetime FLIM data, the phasor plot is represented by points located at half circle, which represents all possible single exponential lifetimes related to the coordinate S(0, 1) and G(0, 0.5) values as

$$S = m \sin \phi = \frac{\omega \tau}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}$$

$$G = m \cos \phi = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2 \tau^2}$$

where τ is the lifetime and ω = 2πf is the laser circular modulation frequency.

In case of FLIM data with multieponential lifetimes, the points in the phasor plot are the results of superposition of single lifetimes. The positions of points are inside the semicircle and follow vector algebra. For biexponential decays, the possible locations of the points are along the line joining the two lifetime points defined by the fractional contribution of each component (fi) in the observed total intensity as

$$S = f_1 m_1 \sin \phi_1 + f_2 m_2 \sin \phi_2 = \frac{f_1 \omega \tau_1}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_1^2} + \frac{f_2 \omega \tau_2}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_2^2}$$

$$G = f_1 m_1 \cos \phi_1 + f_2 m_2 \cos \phi_2 = \frac{f_1}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_1^2} + \frac{f_2}{1 + \omega^2 \tau_2^2}$$

A detailed description of the principles of the phasor plot can be found elsewhere.

2.4 Lifetime Background Correction

Multifrequency FLIM data were corrected for contribution of background fluorescence from cell autofluorescence using VistaVision Suite software v. 204 from ISS. The principle of correction is based on the phasor plot concept. Because points on the phasor plot are generated based on the composition of vectors of each individual fluorescent species, vector algebra can be used and the unwanted component can be subtracted regardless of its intensity decay complexity. Detailed analytical procedure for lifetime background correction is provided in Sec. 3.2. Some procedures for correcting FD lifetime data were already developed for single point measurements.

2.5 Lifetime Data Analysis

Multifrequency FLIM data were analyzed with VistaVision Suite software using single- and biexponential intensity decay models and nonlinear error-weighted fit. Lifetime fitting was performed using binned pixels and fixed errors for phase and modulation (0.4 deg and 0.01) for each frequency. Average lifetime images were generated based on pixel-by-pixel analysis using combined intensity of two neighboring pixels in all direction (bin 2 = 25 pixels). The binning was necessary because of usually weak intensity of single pixels. For multieponential decays, the average lifetimes are calculated from fitted parameters, decay times τ, and amplitudes ai (or fractional intensities fi)25.
\[ \tau_a = \sum \alpha_i \tau_i, \quad \tau_f = \sum f_i \tau_i, \quad (4) \]

where \( \tau_a \) and \( \tau_f \) are an average lifetime amplitude and fractional intensity weighted, respectively. For average lifetime calculation, the amplitudes and fractional intensities are normalized to unity \((\sum \alpha_i = 1 \text{ and } \sum f_i = 1)\). It should be noted that the values of average lifetimes as defined in Eq. (4) may differ significantly because of different weighting factors resulting that \( \tau_a \leq \tau_f \). The relationship between normalized fractional intensities and amplitudes is described as following

\[ f_i = \frac{\alpha_i \tau_i}{\sum \alpha_i \tau_i}. \quad (5) \]

VistaVision Suite software provides capability for displaying images for each of the calculated decay time parameters.

For calculation of FRET efficiency, amplitude-weighted average lifetimes of donor alone, \( \tau_a \) and donor-acceptor pair \( \tau_{DA} \) should be used if the intensity decay is non-single lifetime

\[ E = 1 - \frac{\tau_{DA}}{\tau_D}. \quad (6) \]

This is because the unquenched and quenched donors display the same radiative decay rate and the change in lifetime is proportional to change in quantum yield. The above discussion is valid for FRET systems when all donor molecules are affected by acceptor molecules. This applies to DA pairs at unique distances, DA pairs with distance distribution, and for homogeneous spatial distribution of donor and acceptor molecules (e.g., solutions). In biological systems, in particular protein-protein interaction studies, it is very difficult (or even impossible) to fulfill the conditions that all donor-labeled proteins undergo interaction with acceptor-labeled proteins. Low binding affinity between donor-acceptor-labeled biomolecules and limited acceptor concentration results in the presence of significant amounts of free, noninteracting donor molecules, thereby making it difficult to determine the exact value of \( \tau_{DA} \). Therefore, the FRET efficiency calculated based on Eq. (6) reflects, in most cases, an apparent value which is qualitative indication of a protein-protein interaction.

3 Results

3.1 Visualization of Intensity Decays of Cerulean Fused Proteins with Phasor Plot

The phasor plot is a graphical representation of intensity decays for an FLIM image. Point positions in the 2-D phasor plot are defined by the values of sine \( (S) \) and cosine transform \( (G) \) given by Eqs. (1)–(3). FD FLIM images contain information on the phase shift \( (\phi) \), DC, and AC intensities for each pixel of the image and for each modulation frequency \( (f) \). Therefore, the phasor plot can be displayed even during data acquisition. The DC and AC values are used for calculation of modulation \( (m) \). Experimental data that illustrate the single- and biexponential intensity decays are presented in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively.

An image of the HeLa cells transfected with TLR2-Cer fusion protein and multifrequency phasor plot is shown in Fig. 1. For each modulation frequency, the points form a single round cluster located at the semicircle of the phasor plot. Such a location indicates that TLR2-Cer fluorescence decay is single exponential, and the lifetime value can be determined using Eq. (1).

![Fig. 1 Multifrequency phasor plot of a single-exponential decay.](image)

(a) Fluorescence intensity image of HeLa cells transfected with TLR2-Cer. (b) Corresponding multifrequency phasor plot is shown for the frequencies of 20, 40, 60, 80, 100, and 120 MHz (counter clockwise). (c) The area highlighted in purple corresponds to the points selected by a circle on the phasor plot and has single-exponential lifetime of about 2.8 ns. Image was processed using VistaVision Suite software v. 204 from ISS.

The phasor plot can be used in reverse mode so that the points selected on the plot with a cursor are highlighted in the DC image [Fig. 1(c)]. This procedure enables the identification of fluorescent species with specified lifetimes and was successfully used in complex cellular systems. Because TLR2-Cer has a single lifetime, all pixels that correspond to fluorescent cells are uniformly highlighted in the DC image despite high intensity heterogeneity between individual cells (more than two orders of magnitude). Lifetime data analysis for a fluorophore with a single lifetime component is straightforward and does not require the fitting procedure. Even though a multifrequency phasor plot was generated, a single modulation frequency is sufficient to determine the lifetime value according to Eq. (1). Calculations indicate that the uniform lifetime has a value of ∼2.8 ns across the image.

We previously demonstrated that a decay peptide derived from the dimerization interface of TLR4 TIR domain, 4BB, strongly binds TLR4 TIR. Therefore, when HeLa cells are transfected with TLR4-Cer fusion protein and incubated with 4BB-BTX, FRET was anticipated and the corresponding phasor plot should reflect multiexponential intensity decay (Fig. 2). For each modulation frequency, the observed linear distribution of points on the phasor plot indicates that intensity can be interpreted as biexponential. Two distinct lifetime components and their various fractional intensities can be determined from the phasor plot using single frequency [Fig. 2(c), see also Eqs. (2) and (3)]. Cluster of points located on the semicircle represents the single lifetime similar in value to that observed for TLR2-Cer of 2.8 ns [compare Figs. 2(a) and 1(b)]. Cells that displays long lifetime of ∼2.8 ns are highlighted indicating unquenched or minimally quenched donor. Not highlighted

![Fig. 2 (a) Multifrequency phasor plot for biexponential fluorescence decay characteristic for images of HeLa cells transfected with TLR4-Cer in the presence of peptide 4BB-BTX. The modulation frequencies are 20, 40, 60, and 100 MHz (counter clockwise). (b) The area highlighted in purple on the FLIM image corresponds to the cluster of points selected with the circle on the phasor plot that have predominantly single exponential lifetime of ∼2.8 ns. (c) Interpretation of a biexponential phasor plot.](image)
areas are related to the points on the phasor plot that display shorter nonsingle lifetimes, thus indicating measurable FRET.

It should be noted that distribution of points on the phasor plot for multieponential intensity decay depends on the modulation frequency. Phasor plots of real FLIM images always display as diffusive spots because of noise in the system. A common and primary source of the noise are related to image pixels with weak emission. Phasor plots for TLR2-Cer (Fig. 1) are significantly less noisy than for TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX (Fig. 2) for each modulation frequency. This observation is in agreement with the difference in pixel intensities, as TLR2-Cer displays about 10-fold higher intensities compared to the TLR4-Cer. The observed increased noise for phasors with increased frequencies is related to properties of our multifrequency FLIM system. At 20 MHz frequency, our system has an apparent modulation depth of about 1.7 which decreases by ~5-fold at 120 MHz. The loss of modulation depth due to signal processing and detector response is the reason of larger phasor noise at higher modulation frequencies. The origin of observed small deviations of points outside the semicircle for higher frequencies is not known. We think that this may be due to difference in procedure used for calibration (emission window 525/30 nm for fluorescein with 4 ns) and Cer imaging (485/30 nm). We choose 60 MHz frequency for phasor analysis, however, similar analysis at 40 or 80 MHz would give similar results.

3.2 Background Correction of FLIM Data

The concept for background correction is shown in Fig. 3(a), where the observed vector \( R_O \) is a composition of fluorophore \( R_F \) and background \( R_B \) vectors

\[
R_O = f_F R_F + f_B R_B, \tag{7}
\]

where the \( f_F \) and \( f_B \) are the fractional intensities of fluorophore and background signals. The \((S, G)\) coordinates for vector \( R_F \) can be calculated using modified Eqs. (2) and (3):

\[
S_F = m_F \cos \varphi_F = \frac{1}{1 - f_B} m_O \cos \varphi_O - f_B \frac{1}{1 - f_B} m_B \cos \varphi_B, \tag{8}
\]

\[
G_F = m_F \sin \varphi_F = \frac{1}{1 - f_B} m_O \sin \varphi_O - f_B \frac{1}{1 - f_B} m_B \sin \varphi_B. \tag{9}
\]

Given that parameters of background vector \((m_B, \varphi_B)\) are known, each observed pixel parameters \((m_O, \varphi_O)\) can be corrected for background contribution \( f_B \) \((f_B = \frac{I_B}{I_O}, \text{ where } I_B \text{ and } I_O \text{ are the intensities of background and observed pixels, respectively})\). Examples of background correction effects are shown in Fig. 3(b) for three different vectors. Vector (1) display longer lifetime, vector (2) is collinear, and vector (3) display shorter lifetime compared to the background. Calculated points (red circles) represent the various background contributions, \( f_B = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 \). The important observation is that corrected points \((S_F, G_F)\) coordinates will be on the line connecting observed and background vectors. This is a consequence of phasor linearity and is independent of the complexity of intensity decays of both vectors. The linearity of phasor coordinates allows all background components (cell autofluorescence, signal from sample matrix, scattered excitation component, etc.) be included into one background vector specific for particular modulation frequency. If the applied background contribution exceeds its real value, the corrected points on the phasor plot may be placed outside the semicircle (see corrected points for vector \( R_1 \) with \( f_B > 0.4 \)). Such positions would indicate that intensity decay contains negative pre-exponential factor. In the case when intensity decay of acceptor is observed, the appearance of phasors outside the semicircle indicates that acceptor emission is from FRET excitation. Such a resolution of acceptor emission from direct excitation and via FRET is discussed in details elsewhere.

Raw FLIM data for the FRET system of TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX are shown in Fig. 4(a). The brightness of TLR4-Cer fluorescence in individual cells varies widely across the image. It is important to note that a transient transfection of an exogenous gene to a cell line typically produces a cell population highly homogeneous with respect to the level of transgene expression in individual cells, with some cells in the population not expressing the exogenous gene at all. Intensity decay of cell autofluorescence is intrinsically multi-component which depends on cell metabolic state, and excitation and observation spectral windows. Thus, the background fluorescence parameters has to be determined specifically in the cellular system under investigation, using a control image of cells that do not express donor. In a hypothetical case, when all cells would express donor, the background fluorescence parameters should be determined from a control image of not transfected cells, as shown in Fig. 4(b). In this work, however, we took advantage of the fact that not all cells in our images express Cer donor and used signal from dim cells to calibrate the background correction. We also assumed that autofluorescence intensity and lifetime

Fig. 3 Concept for background correction based on phasor plot. (a) Vector representation of background fluorescence \( R_B \), raw observed or measured \( R_O \), and corrected fluorescence \( R_F \) vectors. (b) Corrected \( S \) and \( G \) coordinates for three observed vectors \( R_1, R_2, R_3 \) calculated for background contribution increasing from 0.1 to 0.5 (red circles).
composition is homogeneous across the entire image. Narrow emission range of $485/30$ nm was used. The selection of dim cells for background correction was justified by comparison of phasor plots of raw FLIM data for FRET system and control sample with cells not transfected with TLR4-Cer (Fig. 4). The diffusive character of phasor plots shown in Fig. 4 originates from pixels that have low intensity. The correction background phasor vector is taken as an average over many pixels from selected image area highlighted with blue color [Fig. 4(a)]. This image for the identification of background parameters and for the FRET analysis is advantageous because potential biases due to the difference in biochemical procedures, in sample matrix, and in instrumental setup are not present. The background signal from dim cells originates mostly from cell autofluorescence. The signal from sample matrix (areas between cells) contributes $<10\%$ to the total background signal. Background vector parameters ($m_B$ and $\phi_B$) for each modulation frequency are shown in Fig. 5. By fitting multifrequency FLIM data to biexponential model, the background decay times were estimated as 0.85 and 4.2 ns and fractional intensities of 0.516 and 0.484, respectively.

The phasor plot for corrected FLIM data [Fig. 6(c)] is significantly different compared to that produced by the intensity threshold procedure [Fig. 6(b)]. Every pixel in the image is corrected according to the procedure described by Eqs. (8) and (9), thus resulting in a change of point positions according to the contribution of background at each pixel as illustrated in Fig. 3(b). Background correction was applied with an average intensity of 30 counts and phasor displayed for pixels with intensities above 30 counts. Some points were moved outside the semicircle which implies that the background contribution for those pixels is likely overestimated. This is the result of using uniform background signal which, in fact, also slightly varies. Such background correction artifacts are difficult to avoid when working with a highly heterogeneous cell population. For simplicity, correction of the FLIM data is shown from background and donor. As shown in Fig. 4(a), the majority of points originate from dim cells identified as background fluorescence. In the conventional FLIM approach, low intensity cells are removed from the analysis by applying an intensity threshold. This approach is satisfactory if the background contribution is low. However, in FRET systems one expects that quenched donor may display low intensity and removal of less bright cells may limit information on FRET. Removal of pixels with intensities below 60 counts/μs (twice of the background average signal) resulted in a simpler phasor plot with linear shape that suggests biexponential intensity decay [Fig. 6(b)]. It is important to note that the intensity threshold procedure limits the image to the brighter cells which may display simpler intensity decay, however, the position of points in the phasor plot remains unchanged.

![Fig. 4](https://photonicsforenergy.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/2014/4/fig4.png)

**Fig. 4** Phasor plot based selection of image area for background correction. Image areas highlighted in blue color in the right panel corresponds to the points selected by circle in phasor plot (middle panel). (a) FLIM image of cells transfected with TLR4-Cer and treated with acceptor 4BB-BTX (50 μM) and (b) untransfected cells treated with acceptor. Phasor plots are displayed at modulation frequency of 60 MHz for pixels with intensity below 30 counts.

![Fig. 5](https://photonicsforenergy.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/2014/4/fig5.png)

**Fig. 5** Multifrequency FLIM data for background correction. Lines show fit to biexponential decay model.

![Fig. 6](https://photonicsforenergy.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/2014/4/fig6.png)

**Fig. 6** Effect of background correction on FLIM data presented in Fig. 4(a). Phasor plots at 60 MHz for (a) the raw FLIM image [see Fig. 4(a)], (b) raw image with intensity threshold above 60 counts, (c) background corrected image with intensity threshold of 30 counts. Average background intensity was 30 counts.
only for 60 MHz modulation frequency, however data at other frequencies (20, 40, 80, 100, and 120 MHz) were corrected simultaneously (see background parameters in Fig. 5). Overall, the background correction not only removes the undesired decay components but also leads to significantly simplified lifetime analysis of FLIM data. For TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX FRET system that display multicomponent raw FLIM data, this procedure reduces complexity to a biexponential model.

In the FRET studies, the same correction procedure should be performed for donor in the absence of the acceptor. The corrected FLIM data for TLR4-Cer transfected to HeLa cells are shown in Fig. 7. Earlier, we demonstrated that TLR2-Cer displays a single lifetime (Fig. 1). We also observed that HeLa cells transfected with Cerulean not fused to a TIR domain also display a single lifetime (data not shown). However, when Cerulean is fused with TLR4 and expressed in HeLa cells, the fluorescence decay becomes nonsingle exponential. The points on the phasor plot for donor alone, TLR4-Cer, can be approximated using a biexponential model with lifetimes 2.85 and 0.75 ns (Fig. 7, dashed line). The contribution of the short component is low as indicated by the location of the majority of points at the upper part of the phasor plot. This lifetime heterogeneity may be a consequence of the ability of TLR4 to dimerize. The heterogeneous behavior of TLR4-Cer was observed even for bright cells which imply that this is not an artifact of background correction. The nonsingle exponential decay of donor usually complicates the quantitative analysis of FLIM FRET data. The mechanisms of lifetime heterogeneity characteristic for some fluorescent proteins are not yet clear. The underlying reasons for this heterogeneity have been associated with different forms of chromophores, homodimerization of fused proteins that can lead to energy homotransfer as well as the effect of photobleaching. Some effect of photo-bleaching on the heterogeneity of TLR4-Cer cannot be completely excluded at this time. Because of the weak expression of TLR4-Cer, higher laser excitation was used that might cause 5% to 10% photobleaching during image acquisition.

### 3.3 FLIM FRET Analysis Using Phasor Plot and Multifrequency Phase-Modulation Data

When the donor displays single exponential decay in the absence and presence of acceptor, phasor plots will have two clusters of points (assuming spatial separation between cells with and without FRET) that identify lifetimes of free donor and donor bound to acceptor, $\tau_D$ and $\tau_{DA}$. As mentioned previously, such a distribution is rarely observed in cellular systems for images that include many cells. In the TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX system, the positions of points in the phasor plot are scattered along an imaginary line [Fig. 6(c)]. By applying the principles of phasor linearity shown in Fig. 2(c), $\tau_D$ and $\tau_{DA}$ can be identified graphically by plotting an imaginary line along the points and finding the values of $\tau_D$ and $\tau_{DA}$ at the intersection of the line and semicircle. The estimated lifetimes are $\tau_D = 2.85$ ns and $\tau_{DA} = 0.65$ ns resulting in an FRET efficiency of $E = 0.77$ for donor-acceptor pairs ($E = 1 - \tau_{DA}/\tau_D$). The spread of points between 2.85 and 0.65 ns lifetimes indicates that the substantial number of pixels is the mixture of unquenched donor and donor acceptor pairs. Assuming that the intensity decay is approximated with biexponential model, decay times, and amplitudes (or fractional intensities) of each component can be determined. Using unconstrained biexponential model, the resulting decay time parameters usually vary significantly from pixel to pixel and may have no meaningful values as individual numbers. This is a consequence of a correlation between fractional intensities (or amplitudes) and decay times, and frequent presence of pixels with weak signals. Pixel-by-pixel biexponential fitting analysis of FLIM data for the TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX FRET system in HeLa cells is displayed as an average lifetime image and a histogram of lifetime distribution in Fig. 8. There is a dramatic difference in results of lifetime analysis for the background corrected and uncorrected data. Despite a simple phasor plot [Fig. 6(b)], the average lifetime for uncorrected data is substantially affected by cell autofluorescence and it is difficult to conclude on FRET because of broad lifetime distribution. Data corrected with lifetime background display well defined peaks with the average lifetime of 0.75 and 2.85 ns and substantially rearranged lifetime distribution that reflects the mixture of unquenched and quenched donors. The pixel frequency at peak with 2.85 ns lifetime is much larger than for uncorrected data which reflects the effect of background correction when low donor expressed and no FRET cells contribute to this peak. The peak with short average lifetime of 0.75 ns cannot be regarded as single lifetime but is related to cells with dominant DA complexes. This is because the phasor plot shown in Fig. 6(c) displays cluster of points, which are off the semicircle at lower part of the plot.

![Fig. 7](https://example.com/fig7.png)  
**Fig. 7** Intensity image and phasor plot of background-corrected FLIM data for TLR4-Cer in the absence of acceptors. Data correspond to the 60 MHz modulation frequency.

![Fig. 8](https://example.com/fig8.png)  
**Fig. 8** Lifetime analysis of TLR4-Cer fluorescence in HeLa cells in the presence of acceptor 4BB-BTX using raw (a) and background corrected (b) FLIM data. Average lifetime images (amplitude weighted) are displayed as histograms (left) and in pseudocolor images (right) with values from 0 to 3.5 ns. Nonlinear fitting of multifrequency FLIM data was performed with binning (bin2 = 25 pixels) with fixed errors of phase of 0.4 deg and modulation of 0.015 and no constrains on decay and amplitude values.
According to interacting \( [D] \) and interacting donor \( [DA] \), it is possible to estimation on the association constant of \( [DA] \) complex. Because unquenched and quenched TLR4-Cer have the same radiative decay rate but different nonradiative rates, the amplitudes can be interpreted as relative molecular concentrations of \( [D] \) and \( [DA] \). Results of such an FLIM FRET analysis are shown in Fig. 9. A significant difference is observed for uncorrected and corrected FLIM images. The molecular fraction of \( [DA] \) varies between cells as well as within single cells. Analysis using uncorrected data leads to substantially overestimated contribution of \( [DA] \) pairs. These results demonstrate that the background correction allows for substantially overestimated contribution of \( [DA] \) pairs. These results demonstrate that the background correction allows for quantitative analysis of FLIM FRET data for heterogeneous population of cells. Further data processing can reveal the information on the association constant of \( [DA] \) complex. Because amplitudes are proportional to molecular concentration of non-interacting \( [D] \) and interacting donor \( [DA] \), it is possible to estimate the binding affinity between the interacting biomolecules according to

\[
\frac{\alpha_{DA}}{\alpha_D} = \frac{[DA]}{[D]} = K_a[A], \tag{10}
\]

where \( [A] \) is the molar concentration of acceptor, \( K_a \) is the association constant of the DA complex. Relative frequencies of \( \alpha_{DA}/\alpha_D \) ratios within the image of TLR4-Cer expressing cells in the presence of 4BB-BTX are shown in Fig. 10. Similarly, performed calculations for FLIM image of TLR4-Cer expressing cells without acceptors are also shown (dashed line). There are three characteristic peaks in the distribution. The first peak has high pixel density at a low ratio of 0.1. This peak is related to the noninteracting donor as it significantly overlaps with the results obtained for TLR4-Cer in the absence of acceptors. The observed small shift of the first peak to 0.1 from 0.0 likely results from the heterogeneity of intensity decay of the donor. The second large peak with the maximum pixel density was observed at \( \alpha_{DA}/\alpha_D = 20.3 \) only when TLR4-Cer expressing cells were incubated in the presence of acceptor, 4BB-BTX. This peak represents the cells where the significant portion of donor molecules is quenched by the bound acceptor. The third relatively small peak observed at value 0.9 is likely a consequence of the no single exponential decay of TLR4-Cer as several small peaks are also present in this \( \alpha_{DA}/\alpha_D \) ratio range for donor in the absence of acceptors (Fig. 10). Using peak value of \( \alpha_{DA}/\alpha_D \) is 20.3 and applying the acceptor concentration of 40 \( \mu \)M, one can estimate that the \( K_a \) is about 0.51 \( \mu \)M\(^{-1}\) Eq. (7).

Figure 9: Analysis of FRET system TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX in HeLa cells using FLIM FRET. Fraction of donor-acceptor pairs is presented as histogram (left) and in pseudocolor scale from 0 to 1.0 (right) for uncorrected (a) and background corrected FLIM data (b). Nonlinear fitting of multifrequency FLIM data was performed with binning (bin 2 = 25 pixels) with fixed errors of phase of 0.4 deg and modulation of 0.015 and fixed lifetime components of 2.85 ns (donor) and 0.65 ns (donor-acceptor pair).

Figure 10: Normalized amplitude ratio distribution in FLIM images of TLR4-Cer-expressing HeLa cells incubated without (dashed line) and in the presence of 4BB-BTX for 1 h (solid line). FLIM data were fitted using biexponential model with two fixed lifetimes of 2.85 and 0.65 ns.

4 Discussion

This report demonstrates that analysis of FLIM images using lifetime background correction, phasor plots, and multifrequency data fitting can provide quantitative information on protein interactions in a highly heterogeneous cell population. The phasor plot is particularly useful for primary evaluation of the complexity of the fluorescence in a cellular system. This approach identifies multiexponential decays, determines whether or not the components are spatially separated and the level of background contribution. The phasor plots also determine the distribution of lifetime, and identify the image areas associated with particular lifetimes. Graphical analysis of the FD FLIM data using phasor plots is a simple and powerful method for extracting decay times and estimating FRET efficiencies without fitting procedures.

The important advantage of FLIM analysis using a phasor plot is that this approach clearly indicates the specifics of donor intensity decays in the absence and presence of acceptors, therefore enabling a more precise estimation of FRET efficiency as it quantifies the presence of unquenched donor molecules in each pixel of the image. A more conventional approach that calculates the average donor lifetime in a cell population typically underestimates FRET efficiency because of unaccounted contribution of fluorescence of free donors and, potentially background signal. Our earlier studies of TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX system using FD spectrophotometer attached to a microscope resulted in FRET efficiency of 0.43. The previous lower value of FRET compared to present of 0.77, was determined based on the average intensity from cell population. Although the value indicated the strong interaction between TLR4 and 4BB, in light of the present FLIM data, the...
value obtained by lifetime spectrofluorometry was truly an apparent FRET efficiency.

The continuous distribution of points in the phasor plots obtained from cellular images indicated substantial contribution to resultant signal of unquenched donors. Physiological protein-protein or protein-ligand interactions often have a low binding affinity; therefore, the capability to measure local ratios of donor-acceptor and free donors is highly significant. This approach can also identify a portion of donor-labeled proteins that may not be accessible to acceptors within cells. Significant scatter of points on the phasor plot can also result from variance in distances between donor and acceptor moieties in individual protein-protein complexes. Although such a distance distribution between donor and acceptor is likely, in general, in biological systems, our data are not sufficient for such analyses at this time. The expected distribution of points in the phasor plot for distance distribution would differ from linear because of the mixture of many populations with different lifetime values $\tau_{DA}(r)$ rather than a mixture of two discrete populations with two discrete lifetimes $\tau_D$ and $\tau_{DA}$.

FLIM FRET data are easy to analyze when the signal is substantially larger than the background from cells without donor. The conventional approach typically employs the intensity threshold to limit the analysis only to cells that are significantly brighter than background. However, this approach is less accurate as the fluorescence of brighter cells is still "contaminated" with the decays of background components, thus resulting in inaccurate quantitative analysis. Moreover, the background fluorescence typically does not display a single-exponential decay, whereas inclusion of additional lifetime components may significantly complicate the analysis and lead to inconclusive or hardly interpretable results. The problem with the background signal can be significant in cases when the FRET efficiency is high because high FRET efficiency results in DA complexes that display low intensities. Additionally, excitation of donor in blue/green spectral range induces high cell autofluorescence. All these complicating factors apply to the TLR4-Cer/4BB-BTX system used in this study. The correction of FLIM data for the contribution of cell and matrix autofluorescence enabled the quantitative FRET analysis. The quantitative information on the dynamics of the interaction is the most valuable for biologists for understanding the molecular systems inside cells. Several approaches were developed for measurements of stoichiometry of binding interactions. In these approaches, both donor and acceptor images were collected and various image processing algorithms were applied. Our approach using a biexponential analysis with phasor plot guidance is much simpler, yet more reliable for quantitative analysis of FRET in cells. As we demonstrate, despite the wide variation in donor signal between and within cells, the large images can be analyzed and quantitative information obtained on the ratio of interacting and noninteracting TLR4-Cer with 4BB-BTX. Furthermore, knowing the acceptor concentration, one can estimate the apparent dissociation constant between the interacting proteins. This can be an extremely useful approach for, in particular, comparative evaluation of binding efficiency of drug candidates with respect to the specific cellular target. Importantly, a large cell population can be imaged and analyzed without the necessity of potentially biased selection of representative cells. Analysis of images that include large number of cells sheds light on variation in localization and expression levels of donors and acceptors within cells. In the case, we studied the primary source of variation is localization and expression levels of TLR4-Cer within HeLa cells. Only a fraction of transfected cells expressed TLR4-Cer. Many dim cells displayed signal comparable to untransfected cells.

In the studies of binding affinities, to ensure that the apparent $K_a$ is related to a biological system, one can perform such an analysis using several acceptor concentrations and find out if they result in the same $K_a$ values. This estimation of the apparent $K_a$ can be very valuable for the comparison of FRET data with other biochemical methods. Another valuable application of presenting FRET data as shown in Fig. 7 would be for screening interactions between donor-labeled proteins and various proteins labeled with the same acceptor. Different values of $\alpha_{DA}/\alpha_D$ of observed peaks will indicate different binding affinities of the studied proteins.

5 Conclusions

We have presented several examples of analysis of time-resolved FRET data for a heterogeneous cell population. Using the phasor plot visualization approach, FRET lifetime data spatially displayed multieponential character allowing direct determination of energy transfer efficiency without fitting procedure. Correction of FLIM data for cell autofluorescence allows the determination of apparent binding affinity between TLR4 and decoy peptide 4BB directly in cells. These approaches were used for large cell population, reflecting cell heterogeneity in respect of transgene expression, and distribution of interacting donor-acceptor-labeled proteins. Future studies will focus on screening more decoy peptides for binding to TLR4 and other TIR domains.
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